



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N                                  | O.   1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/855,073                                     |        | 05/14/2001  | David Tucker         | 37357.0100          | 8589             |
| 758  | 7590   | 01/31/2006  |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| FENWICK & WEST LLP                             |        |             |                      | HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E |                  |
| SILICON VALLEY CENTER<br>801 CALIFORNIA STREET |        |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041                        |        |             |                      | 2134                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|------------------|---------------|
| 09/855,073       | TUCKER ET AL. |
| Examiner         | Art Unit      |
| Matthew Heneghan | 2134          |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: 43-45.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,12,21-23 and 48-53.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11,13-20,24-42,46,47 and 54-57.

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

| 3. 🗀 | ] The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered |
|------|---|
|      | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary                   |
|      | and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 13. Other: All previous objections and all previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments. All grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 in the Final Rejection mailed 2 November 2005 are maintained for the reasons stated in that office action.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 29 December 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that Venkatesan does not teach to the random selection of functions from a library, Venkatesan discloses the random selection of nodes to be replaced, and the choice of library functions to be used is based entirely upon that random selection. Since the selection of the particular library function is a the direct result of the value a random variable, the selection of the library function is deemed to be random as well.

In response to applicant's argument that Venkatesan is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Venkatesan teaches to the obfuscation of watermarks, whereas the primary references teach to the obfuscation of software. Both of these, therefore, are obfuscation of sequenced digital data, and the obfuscation is each case is performed for similar reasons. The modifications to the primary references in view of Venkatesan may be applied to the obfuscation of software as done in the primary references, and the cited motivations stated by Venkatesan apply as well to the obfuscation of software in addition to the obfuscation of watermarks.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,073 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu, can be reached at (571) 272-3859.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-3800

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/855,073

Art Unit: 2134

MEH AAP

January 25, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4